Case 1:08-cv-01419-AKH Doo	cument 1	Filed 02/04/2008	Page 1 of 11
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK			
IN RE WORLD TRADE CENTER DISASTER SITE LITIGATION		21 MC 100 (AKH)	
HAMDAT PURANDAT AND MEKHRAGIE PURAN	NNDAT	DOCKET NO.	
Plaintiffs	s,	CHECK-OFF ("SHO COMPLAINT RELATED TO THE	
- against -		MASTER COMPLA	AINT
A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,		PLAINTIFF(S) DEN JURY	MAND A TRIAL BY
SEE ATTACHED RIDER,			
Defendan	nts.		
By Order of the Honorable Alvin K. 2006, ("the Order"), Amended Master Comple			•
NO	OTICE OF A	ADOPTION	
All headings and paragraphs in the Minstant Plaintiff(s) as if fully set forth herein Plaintiff(s), which are listed below. These are and specific case information is set forth, as no	n in addition e marked wit	n to those paragraphs th an '☑" if applicable	specific to the individual
Plaintiffs, HAMDAT PURANDAT A attorneys WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & respectfully allege:			
I.	PARTI	<u>ES</u>	
A	DI AINTIE	TE(C)	

A. PLAINTIFF(S)

1. and a citizen of		t 442 Atom Avenue, Apt	after the "Injured Plaintiff" # 1S, Brooklyn, NY 1120	
2.	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	(OR) is the aim in his (her) capacity a	of Decedent as of the Estate of	
		ase read this document can you fill out each and every	•	

Cas	se 1:08-cv-01419-AKH Document	t 1 Filed 02/04/2008 Page 2 of 11
	siding at 442 Atom Avenue, Apt # 1S, B the Injured Plaintiff: SPOUSE at all relevant times I HAMDAT PURANDAT, and the injuries sustained by her hu	ereinafter the "Derivative Plaintiff"), is a citizen of Brooklyn, NY 11208, and has the following herein, is and has been lawfully married to Plaintiff brings this derivative action for her (his) loss due to asband (his wife), Plaintiff HAMDAT PURANDAT. Other:
4. TRANSIT AU	In the period from 9/12/2001 to 10/14/UTHORITY as a maintainer at:	/2001 the Injured Plaintiff worked for NYC
F	Please be as specific as possible when fi	lling in the following dates and locations
Location(s) (<i>i</i> From on or ab	Trade Center Site i.e., building, quadrant, etc.) bout 9/12/2001 until 10/14/2001; y 12 hours per day; for y 30 days total.	The Barge From on or about until; Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total.
From on or ab Approximatel	York City Medical Examiner's Office bout until, y hours per day; for y days total.	Non-WTC Site building or location. The injured plaintiff worked at the address/location, for the dates alleged, for the hours per day, for the total days, and for the employer, as specified below:
Approximatel Approximatel	y hours per day; for y days total.	From on or about until; Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total; Name and Address of Non-WTC Site Building/Worksite:
	nis information on a separate sheet of pa "Other" locations, please annex a separate	per if necessary. If more space is needed to specify ate sheet of paper with the information.
5.	Injured Plaintiff	
	above;	noxious fumes on all dates, at the site(s) indicated ingested toxic substances and particulates on all
	dates at the site(s) indicated above;	or touched toxic or caustic substances on all dates at
	the site(s) indicated above;	
	✓ Other: Not yet determined.	

6.

Injure	d Plaintiff
V	Has not made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund. Pursuant to \$405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was denied. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequently withdrawn by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any further legal action for the injuries identified in said claim.

B. DEFENDANT(S)

The following is a list of all Defendant(s) named in the Master Complaint. If checked, all paragraphs pertaining to that Defendant are deemed pleaded herein.

☐ THE CITY OF NEW YORK	☑ A RUSSO WRECKING
☐ A Notice of Claim was timely filed and	☑ ABM INDUSTRIES, INC.
served on and	\square ABM JANITORIAL NORTHEAST, INC.
pursuant to General Municipal Law §50-	✓ AMEC CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT,
h the CITY held a hearing on(OR)	INC.
The City has yet to hold a hearing as	✓ AMEC EARTH & ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
required by General Municipal Law \$50-h	✓ ANTHONY CORTESE SPECIALIZED
☐ More than thirty days have passed and	HAULING, LLC, INC. ☑ ATLANTIC HEYDT CORP
the City has not adjusted the claim	☑ BECHTEL ASSOCIATES PROFESSIONAL
(OR)	CORPORATION
` '	
☐ An Order to Show Cause application to	☑ BECHTEL CONSTRUCTION, INC.
deem Plaintiff's (Plaintiffs') Notice of	☑ BECHTEL CORPORATION
Claim timely filed, or in the alternative to grant	☑ BECHTEL ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
Plaintiff(s) leave to file a late Notice of Claim	☑ BERKEL & COMPANY, CONTRACTORS,
Nunc Pro Tunc (for leave to file a late Notice of	INC.
Claim <i>Nunc Pro Tunc</i>) has been filed and a	☑ BIG APPLE WRECKING & CONSTRUCTION
determination	CORP
is pending	☐ BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC. ☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE LMB, INC.
Granting petition was made on	
☐ Denying petition was made on	☑ BREEZE CARTING CORP ☑ BREEZE NATIONAL, INC.
	☑ BREEZE NATIONAL, INC. ☑ BRER-FOUR TRANSPORTATION CORP.
☐ PORT AUTHORITY OF NEW YORK AND	☑ BREK-FOUR TRANSFORTATION CORF. ☑ BURO HAPPOLD CONSULTING ENGINEERS,
NEW JERSEY ["PORT AUTHORITY"]	P.C.
☐ A Notice of Claim was filed and served	☐ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP
pursuant to Chapter 179, §7 of The	☑ C.D. CONTRACTING CORP
Unconsolidated Laws of the State of New	☐ CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF
York on	NEW YORK, INC.
☐ More than sixty days have elapsed since	☑ CORD CONTRACTING CO., INC
the Notice of Claim was filed, (and)	☐ CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANY INC.
\Box the PORT AUTHORITY has	☑ DAKOTA DEMO-TECH
adjusted this claim	☑ DIAMOND POINT EXCAVATING CORP
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has not	☑ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC.
adjusted this claim.	☑ DIVERSIFIED CARTING, INC.
	☑ DMT ENTERPRISE, INC.
☐ 1 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ D'ONOFRIO GENERAL CONTRACTORS
☐ 1 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	CORP
☐ 2 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EAGLE LEASING & INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY
☐ 2 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EAGLE ONE ROOFING CONTRACTORS INC.
☐ 4 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☐ EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC.
☐ 4 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EJ DAVIES, INC.
☐ 5 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EN-TECH CORP
☐ 5 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☐ ET ENVIRONMENTAL
☐ 7 WORLD TRADE COMPANY, L.P.	□EVANS ENVIRONMENTAL

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

OTHER: _

☐ Non-WTC Site Building Owner	☐ Non-WTC Site Building Managing Agent
Name:	Name:
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	Building/Worksite Address:
☐ Non-WTC Site Lessee	-
Name:	
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	

Case 1:08-cv-01419-AKH Document 1 Filed 02/04/2008 Page 7 of 11 II. JURISDICTION

The Court's jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action is:

	ounded upon Federal Question Jurisdiction; spe lization Act of 2001, (or); Federal Officers : ; Contested, b	Jurisd		
remo	removal jurisdiction over this action, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441.			
of lia		name	ACTION d defendants based upon the following theories a such a claim under the applicable substantive	
✓	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law(s) including §§ 200 and 240	V	Common Law Negligence, including allegations of Fraud and Misrepresentation	
✓	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law 241(6)		 ✓ Air Quality; ✓ Effectiveness of Mask Provided; ☐ Effectiveness of Other Safety Equipment Provided 	
	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-a		(specify:); ✓ Other(specify): Not yet determined	
	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-e		Wrongful Death	
		V	Loss of Services/Loss of Consortium for Derivative Plaintiff	
		İ	Other:	

Case 1:08-cv-01419-AKH Document 1 Filed 02/04/2008 Page 8 of 11 IV CAUSATION, INJURY AND DAMAGE

1. As a direct and proximate result of defendant's culpable actions in the rescue and/or recovery and/or construction, renovation, alteration, demolition and all work performed at the premises, the Injured Plaintiff sustained (including, but not limited to) the following injuries:

	Cancer Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:	V	Cardiovascular Injury: Chest Pain Date of onset: 8/1/2006 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date
V	Respiratory Injury: Shortness of Breath Date of onset: 8/1/2006 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date	\	Fear of Cancer Date of onset: 8/1/2006 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date
	Digestive Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:	V	Other Injury: Sleeping Problems Date of onset: 8/1/2006 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date

NOTE: The foregoing is *NOT* an exhaustive list of injuries that may be alleged.

2. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries identified in paragraph "1", above, the Ground Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer the following compensable damages:

damages:		
	Pain and suffering	
V	Loss of the enjoyment of life	
V	Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity	
√	Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of retirement benefits Expenses for medical care, treatment, and rehabilitation	
✓	Other: ✓ Mental anguish ✓ Disability ✓ Medical monitoring ✓ Other: Not yet determined.	

 ✓ As a direct and proximate result of the injuries described *supra*, the derivative plaintiff(s), if any, have in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer a loss of the love, society, companionship, services, affection, and support of the plaintiff and such other losses, injuries and damages for which compensation is legally appropriate.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff(s) respectfully pray that the Court enter judgment in his/her/their favor and against defendant(s) for damages, costs of suit and such other, further and different relief as may be just and appropriate.

Plaintiff(s) demands that all issues of fact in this case be tried before a properly empanelled jury.

Dated: New York, New York January 31, 2008

Yours, etc.,

Worby, Groner Edelman & Napoli Bern, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s), Hamdat Purandat and Mekhragie Puranndat

By:

Christopher R. LoPalo (CL 6466)

115 Broadway 12th Floor

New York, New York 10006

Phone: (212) 267-3700

ATTORNEY VERIFICATION

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO, an attorney at law, duly admitted to practice in the Courts of the State of New York, affirms under the penalties of perjury that:

He is the attorney for the plaintiff(s) in the above-entitled action.

That he has read the foregoing SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT and knows

the contents thereof, and upon information and belief, deponent believes

the matters alleged therein to be true.

The reason this Verification is made by deponent and not by the plaintiff(s) is that the plaintiff(s) herein reside(s) in a county other than the one in which the plaintiff's attorneys maintain their office.

The source of deponent's information and the grounds of his belief are communication, papers, reports and investigation contained in the file.

DATED: New York, New York

January 31, 2008

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO

Docket	No: UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
	HAMDAT PURANDAT (AND WIFE, MEKHRAGIE PURANNDAT),
	Plaintiff(s) - against -
	A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,
	Defendant(s).
===:	SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT
	WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP Attorneys for: Plaintiff(s) Office and Post Office Address, Telephone 115 Broadway - 12th Floor New York, New York 10006 (212) 267-3700
	To Attorney(s) for
	Service of a copy of the within is hereby admitted. Dated,
	Attorney(s) for
	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE:
	□ NOTICE OF ENTRY that the within is a (certified) true copy of an duly entered in the office of the clerk of the within named court on20
	That an orderof which the within is a true copy will be presented for settlement to the HON. one of the judges of the within named Court, at on20 atM. Dated, Yours, etc., WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP